

Application. No. 10/675,076
Amendment Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111
May 19, 2008

REMARKS

The present application includes pending claims 1-30, all of which have been rejected. By this Amendment, claims 1, 11, 21 and 27-29 have been amended, as set forth above.

Claims 1-30 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over ReplayTV 4000 User Guide, published by Sonicblue Incorporated (hereinafter, Sonicblue), in view of US Patent Publication 2002/0006161 of Van Der Schaar ("Van Der Schaar"). The Applicants respectfully traverse these rejections at least for the reasons previously set forth during prosecution and at least based on the following remarks.

Claim 1 has been amended to recite, in part, "transferring the media from a first media processing device at a **first geographic location that is remotely located from the home location** to at least a second media processing device at a **second geographic location that is also remotely located from the home location** according to said controlling communication **from said television in the home location.**"

Sonicblue discloses a "digital video recorder (DVR) that allows you to share content between multiple units **in a home network.**" See Sonicblue at 1 (emphasis). Thus, Sonicblue indicates that content may be shared within a single home location.

Application. No. 10/675,076
Amendment Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111
May 19, 2008

However, Sonicblue also discloses that “[y]ou can even send **your** recordings over the Internet with friends and family who are using ReplayTV.” See *id.* (emphasis added). See also *id.* at 20 (“By taking advantage of the Ethernet connection, you can you’re your recordings to other ReplayTV 4000 users over the Internet”) and 59 (“allows you to send recordings - including shows your record and home videos – to other ReplayTVs over the Internet”). Thus, Sonicblue discloses that recordings can be sent from one location to other locations via the Internet.

The Applicants respectfully submit, however, that Sonicblue, alone or in combination with Van Der Schaar, does not describe, teach or suggest “transferring the media from a first media processing device at a **first geographic location that is remotely located from the home location** to at least a second media processing device **at a second geographic location that is also remotely located from the home location** according to said controlling communication **from said television in the home location**,” as recited in independent claims 1 and 11. The proposed combination also does not describe, teach or suggest “a television within a media processing system at a home location that is remotely located from the first geographic location, said television is utilized to arrange media delivery from a second geographic location that is remotely located from said home location to the media peripheral at the first geographic location for playback on said media peripheral,” as recited in independent claim 21, nor “a television, within a media processing system, located at a

Application. No. 10/675,076
Amendment Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111
May 19, 2008

second home that is remotely located from the first home, wherein said television is utilized to arrange delivery of media from a third home that is remotely located from said first and second homes to said media peripheral at said first home," as recited in independent claim 27. Thus, the Applicants respectfully submit that the proposed combination of Sonicblue and Van Der Schaar does not render claims 1, 11, 21, 27 or the claims that depend therefrom unpatentable.

In general, the Office Action makes various statements regarding the pending claims and the cited references that are now moot in light of the above. Thus, the Applicants will not address such statements at the present time. The Applicants expressly reserve the right, however, to challenge such statements in the future should the need arise (e.g., if such statements should become relevant by appearing in a future rejection).

The Applicants respectfully request that the outstanding rejections be reconsidered and withdrawn. If the Examiner has any questions or the Applicants can be of any assistance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney for Applicants.

Application. No. 10/675,076
Amendment Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111
May 19, 2008

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any necessary fees, or credit any overpayment to the Deposit Account of McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Account No. 13-0017.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: May 19, 2008

McANDREWS, HELD & MALLOY, LTD.
500 West Madison Street, 34th Floor
Chicago, Illinois 60661
Telephone: (312) 775-8000
Facsimile: (312) 775-8100

/Joseph M. Butscher/
Joseph M. Butscher
Registration No. 48,326